

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/) Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

Journal of Combinatorial Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta)

# The maximum size of hypergraphs without generalized 4-cycles

Oleg Pikhurko <sup>a</sup>*,*1, Jacques Verstraëte <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA* <sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA*

#### article info abstract

*Article history:* Received 30 December 2007 Available online 14 November 2008

*Keywords:* Generalized 4-cycle Turán function

Let  $f_r(n)$  be the maximum number of edges in an *r*-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices that does not contain four distinct edges *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* with  $A ∪ B = C ∪ D$  and  $A ∩ B = C ∩ D = ∅$ . This problem was stated by Erdős [P. Erdős, Problems and results in combinatorial analysis, Congr. Numer. 19 (1977) 3–12]. It can be viewed as a generalization of the Turán problem for the 4-cycle to hypergraphs.

Let  $\phi_r = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_r(n)}{r-1}$ . Füredi [Z. Füredi, Hypergraphs in which all disjoint pairs have distinct unions, Combinatorica 4 (1984) 161–168] observed that  $\phi_r\geqslant 1$  and conjectured that this is equality for every  $r \geqslant 3$ . The best known upper bound  $\phi_r \leqslant 3$ was proved by Mubayi and Verstraëte [D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, A hypergraph extension of the bipartite Turán problem, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 106 (2004) 237–253]. Here we improve this bound. Namely, we show that  $\phi_r \leq \min(7/4, 1 + 2/\sqrt{r})$  for every  $r \geq 3$ , and  $\phi_3 \leq 13/9$ . In particular, it follows that  $\phi_r \to 1$  as  $r \to \infty$ . © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### **1. Introduction**

Erdős [5] stated the following problem. Determine  $f_r(n)$ , the maximum number of edges in an *r*-graph on *n* vertices that does not contain four distinct edges *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* with  $A \cup B = C \cup D$  and  $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$ .

For  $r = 2$ , this reduces to the known and well-studied problem of extremal graph theory to determine the Turán function for the 4-cycle. It was raised by Erdős  $[3]$  in 1938 and various results were

0097-3165/\$ – see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. [doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2008.09.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2008.09.002)

*E-mail address:* [jacques@ucsd.edu](mailto:jacques@ucsd.edu) (J. Verstraëte).

*URL:* <http://www.math.cmu.edu/~pikhurko> (O. Pikhurko).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant DMS-0457512.

obtained in [1,2,4,6–8,10,17]. It is known that  $f_2(n) = (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n^{3/2}$  as  $n \to \infty$ , see Brown [1] and Erdős, Rényi, and Sós [7].

Thus the computation of  $f_r(n)$  can be viewed as a generalization of this problem to hypergraphs. Therefore, we denote the family of forbidden *r*-graphs as  $C_4^r$  and call each member of  $C_4^r$  a *generalized* 4-cycle. When  $r = 2$  or 3, there is only one forbidden subgraph up to isomorphism.

Bollobás and Erdős (unpublished, see [5, p. 11]) proved that there are constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $c_1n^2 \leqslant f_3(n) \leqslant c_2n^2$ . As Erdős and Frankl pointed out in 1975 (see [9, p. 162]), one can show that  $f_r(n) = O(n^{r-1/2})$  for all  $r \ge 2$ . Füredi [9] proved that

$$
\binom{n-1}{r-1} + \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{r} \right\rfloor \leqslant f_r(n) < \frac{7}{2} \binom{n}{r-1}.\tag{1}
$$

The lower bound in (1) arises from the family of all *r*-element subsets of  $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$  containing the element *n* together with an arbitrary family of  $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{r} \rfloor$  pairwise disjoint *r*-element subsets of [*n* − 1]. Füredi [9, Example 1.3] also observed that if we replace every 5-set in a Steiner *S*1*(n,* 5*,* 2*)* system by all its 3-element subsets, then the resulting  $C_3^3$ -free triple system has  $\binom{n}{2}$  triples. A Steiner  $S_1(n, 5, 2)$ -system exists if and only if  $n \equiv 1$  or 5 *(mod 20)*, see Hanani [11,12]. Thus this construction improves the lower bound in (1) to  $f_3(n) \geq \binom{n}{2}$  for such *n*. Füredi [9, Conjecture 1.4] conjectured that, for  $n \ge n_0(r)$ ,  $f_r(n) \le {n \choose r-1}$  if  $r \ge 3$  and  $f_r(n) = {n-1 \choose r-1} + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{r} \rfloor$  if  $r \ge 4$ .

Also, Mubayi [14, Conjecture 6.2] conjectured that the  $f_r(n)$ -problem is *stable* for  $r \geqslant 4$ , that is, for any  $r \ge 4$  and  $\delta > 0$  there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that any  $\mathcal{C}_4^r$ -free *r*-graph with *n* vertices and at least  $(1 - \varepsilon) {n \choose r-1}$  edges contains a vertex *v* belonging to at least  $(1 - \delta) {n \choose r-1}$  edges.

By (1), it makes sense to define

$$
\phi_r = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_r(n)}{\binom{n}{r-1}}, \quad r \geqslant 3. \tag{2}
$$

Füredi [9, Proposition 6.1] showed that  $f_3(n)/\binom{n}{2}$  converges as  $n \to \infty$  but the existence of the limit for  $r \geqslant 4$  is still an open question. Recently, Mubayi and Verstraëte [15] showed that  $f_r(n) \leqslant 3\binom{n}{r-1}+1$ *O*( $n^{r-2}$ ) if  $r \ge 3$  is fixed, thus improving the upper bound in (1). Hence,  $1 \le \phi_r \le 3$  for any  $r \ge 3$ .

Here we prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.** For every  $r \geqslant 3$  we have  $\phi_r \leqslant \min(1 + 2/\sqrt{r}, 7/4)$ . In particular,  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \phi_r = 1$ .

Unfortunately, the optimal upper bound on  $\phi_3$  given by our proof of Theorem 1 is a cumbersome expression, involving roots of cubic polynomials. Therefore, we decided to state the weaker bound 1 + 2*/* <sup>√</sup>*<sup>r</sup>* as well as to give some simple constant (i.e. 7*/*4) that is an upper bound on *<sup>φ</sup><sup>r</sup>* for every *<sup>r</sup>*.  $(1 + 2/\sqrt{r})$  as well as to give some simple constant (i.e.  $7/4$ ) that is an upper bound on  $\phi_r$  for every r.<br>(Note that  $1 + 2/\sqrt{r} < 7/4$  for  $r \ge 8$ .) We refer the reader to the remarks at the end of Section 6 for a discussion of the best upper bounds on  $\phi_r$  given by our proof. These bounds are largest when  $r = 3$ and 4.

Given this, the case  $r = 3$  seems the most interesting one, especially that it is somewhat exceptional (if Füredi's conjecture is true). Also, the proofs in [9,15] proceeded by reducing the general case to the 3-partite version of the problem for 3-graphs. Therefore, we worked harder on the case  $r = 3$  and were able to improve the bound  $\phi_3 \leqslant 1.739\dots$  of Theorem 1 (obtained by optimizing the constants) to  $\phi_3 \leqslant 13/9 = 1.444\dots$  in the following, somewhat more precise form.

**Theorem 2.** 
$$
f_3(n) \leq \frac{13}{9} {n \choose 2}
$$
 for every  $n \geq 1$ .

The key ingredient in our being able to improve the previous results comes from a strengthening of an auxiliary result of Füredi [9, Lemma 3.1] on the minimum number of edges that meet every 4-cycle in a graph. The exact statement of the new lemma and a short discussion can be found in Section 3.

Let us mention a few other related results. Mubayi [13] proved that if, in addition to  $C_4^3$ , we also forbid the complete 3-partite 3-graph with parts of sizes 1, 2 and 4, then the maximum number of

triples on *n* vertices is indeed at most  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Mubayi and Verstraëte [16] showed that the maximum size of an *r*-graph on *n* vertices without any *minimal* 4*-cycle* (a certain *r*-graph family including all  $C_4^r$ -cycles) is  $\binom{n-1}{r-1} + O(n^{r-2})$ . Mubayi and Verstraëte [15] stated some generalizations of the  $f_r(n)$ problem and presented various bounds.

Here we concentrate on the original function  $f_r(n)$ . Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 lists the notation used in this paper. Some auxiliary results for graphs are presented in Section 3 and for hypergraphs in Sections 4–5. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 6 and Theorem 2 in Section 7.

#### **2. Notation**

We use the following notation in this paper. We denote  $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ . Also,  $\binom{X}{k} = \{Y \subseteq X\}$ .  $|Y| = k$  is the set of all *k*-subsets of a set *X*. For brevity, we use abbreviations like  $ab = \{a, b\}$  and  $abc = \{a, b, c\}$  (and even  $a = \{a\}$  in the cases when the meaning is clear).

An *r*-graph (or an *r*-uniform set system) on a set *X* is  $G \subseteq {X \choose r}$ , a collection of *r*-subsets of *X*. We identify *r*-graphs with their edge sets so that, for example, |G| denotes the number of edges of G. The *vertex set* of G is  $V(G) = \bigcup_{E \in G} E$ . When  $r = 2$ , we use the term graph.

Some special *r*-graphs are as follows.

- $C_4(ab, cd)$  is the graph  ${ac, ad, bc, bd}.$
- $C_4^3(ab, cd, ef)$  is the 3-graph {*abc,abd, cef,def* }.
- $C_4^r$  denotes the family of *r*-graphs with four distinct edges *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* such that  $A \cup B = C \cup D$ and  $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$ .
- $P(T, P)$  is the 3-graph consisting of all triples *E* with  $|T \cap E| = 2$  and  $|P \cap E| = 1$ , where *T* and *P* and are two disjoint sets of vertices.
- $P_i$  is a copy of  $P(T, P)$  with  $|T| = 3$  and  $|P| = i$ .
- $K_5^-$  is the family of all 3-graphs with 5 vertices and at least 8 edges such that if two different triples are missing then these triples intersect in precisely one vertex. (Thus, up to isomorphism,  $\mathcal{K}_5^-$  has three different 3-graphs.)

Let  $G \subseteq {X \choose 2}$  be a graph and  $ab \in {X \choose 2}$ . The *μ*-multiplicity of *ab* in G is  $\mu_G(ab) = |\{x \in X : ax, bx \in G\}|$ , the number of 2-paths connecting  $\overline{a}$  to *b*. The pair *ab* is a *diagonal* of G if  $\mu_G(ab) \geq 2$  (equivalently, if  $a$  and  $b$  are diametrally opposite points on some 4-cycle in  $G$ ). Let

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}) = \left\{ xy \in \binom{X}{2}; \ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}(xy) \geqslant 2 \right\}
$$

be the set of all diagonals of G. The pair *ab* is a *half-diagonal* if  $\mu_G(ab) = 1$ . Let

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}) = \left\{ xy \in \binom{X}{2}; \ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}(xy) = 1 \right\}.
$$

Let  $G \subseteq {X \choose r}$  be an *r*-graph. For  $A \subseteq X$ , its *link*  $(r - |A|)$ -graph is  $G_A = \{B \subseteq X \setminus A: A \cup B \in G\}$ . If  $|A| = r - 1$ , then we view  $\mathcal{G}_A$  as a set of vertices rather than as a set of single-element sets. Also,  $G[B] = {E \in \mathcal{G} : E \subseteq B}$  denotes the subgraph induced by a set  $B \subseteq X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq {X \choose 3}$  be a 3-graph. Let

$$
\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G}) = \left\{ ab \in \binom{X}{2} : \left| \{ x \in X \setminus ab : \ ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_X) \} \right| = i \right\}
$$

consist of those pairs *ab* that are diagonals in exactly *i* link graphs of G. Define  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G})$ and  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}) = (\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_x)) \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ . We call the elements of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  (respectively  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ ) *diagonals* (respectively  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ ) tively *half-diagonals*) of the 3-graph G.

#### **3. Removing diagonals in graphs**

Füredi [9, Lemma 3.1] proved that any bipartite graph G can be made  $C_4$ -free by removing at most  $|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})|$  edges. This lemma, interesting on its own, turned out to be very useful in proving upper bounds on *fr(n)*, see [9,15]. Here we strengthen Füredi's lemma in two directions simultaneously. Firstly, we remove the assumption that  $G$  is bipartite. Secondly, we show that every diagonal of the original graph  $G$  is neither a diagonal nor a half-diagonal in the obtained graph  $G'$ . (In general,  $G'$  need not consist of isolated edges only so it may have some other pairs as half-diagonals.)

**Lemma 3.** For any graph  $G$  there is an edge set  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq G$  such that  $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |\mathcal{D}(G)|$  and

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}) \cap (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}') \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}')) = \emptyset,
$$
\n(3)

where  $G' = G \setminus \mathcal{R}$ , that is,  $\mu_{G'}(ab) = 0$  for every diagonal ab of G. (In particular, G' is  $C_4$ -free.)

**Proof.** Let us prove the following claim first.

**Claim 1.** For any graph H that contains at least one 4-cycle, we can remove a non-empty set  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  of edges *so that the obtained graph*  $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{R}$  *satisfies* 

$$
\left| \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}') \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}')) \right| \geqslant |\mathcal{R}|,
$$
\n(4)

*that is, we have*  $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}(ab) = 0$  *for at least*  $|\mathcal{R}|$  *diagonals ab*  $\in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ *.* 

**Proof.** Choose any *uv* which is an edge of at least one C<sub>4</sub>-subgraph of H. For  $x \in V(H)$ , let  $D(x) =$  ${y \in V(\mathcal{H}) : xy \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})}.$  Define

$$
X = D(u) \cap \mathcal{H}_v = \{x \in V(\mathcal{H}): ux \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}), vx \in \mathcal{H}\},
$$
  
 
$$
Y = D(v) \cap \mathcal{H}_u = \{y \in V(\mathcal{H}): vy \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}), uy \in \mathcal{H}\}.
$$

Note that both *X* and *Y* are non-empty, because there is a 4-cycle containing the edge *uv*. Also, *u*,  $v \notin X$  ∪  $Y$ .

Remove all edges between  $v$  and  $X$  and between  $u$  and  $Y$ . It is enough to show that in the obtained graph  $\mathcal{H}'$  all pairs *vy* with  $y \in Y$  and *ux* with  $x \in X$  have  $\mu$ -multiplicity 0. Suppose on the contrary that, for example, for some  $w \in V(\mathcal{H}')$  and  $y \in Y$  we have  $vw, wy \in \mathcal{H}'$ . Then  $w \neq u$ because *uy* has been deleted but  $wy \in H'$ . Thus  $C_4(uw, vy) \subseteq H$ . By definition,  $w \in X$ . But all edges between *v* and *X* have been deleted and cannot belong to  $\mathcal{H}'$ , a contradiction that finishes the proof of Claim 1.  $\Box$ 

Let us return to the proof of the lemma. Starting with  $H = G$ , we iteratively apply Claim 1 and keep removing edges from H until no C4-subgraph remains. Suppose we have removed *<sup>k</sup>* edges in total. Let H' be the final graph. Then the number of  $ab \in D(G)$  with  $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}(ab) = 0$  is at least *k*. Since  $\mathcal{H}'$  is C<sub>4</sub>-free, all other diagonals *ab* of G satisfy  $\mu_{\mathcal{H}'}(ab) = 1$ . For each  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}')$  pick an edge  $E_{ab} \in H'$  whose removal would bring the  $\mu$ -multiplicity of *ab* to 0. Note that there are at most  $|D(G)| - k$  such pairs *ab*. Let G' be obtained from H' by removing all such edges  $E_{ab}$ . Clearly, the edge set  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}'$  satisfies all the conclusions of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

We will also need the following simple observation.

**Lemma 4.** Let G be a C<sub>4</sub>-free graph on n vertices with  $g = |G|$  edges. Then  $|\mathcal{E}(G)| \geq 2g - n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant g_n$  be the degrees of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_4 \nsubseteq \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$
\left| \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{g_i}{2}.
$$
\n<sup>(5)</sup>

Note that  $\binom{k}{2} \geqslant k-1$  for every non-negative integer *k*. This, (5), and the identity  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(g_i-1)=2g-n$ imply the lemma.  $\square$ 

## **4. Multiple diagonals in** *<sup>C</sup>***<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> -free 3-graphs**

Here we present some auxiliary lemmas about *multiple diagonals* (i.e. pairs in  $\bigcup_{i \geq 2} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G})$ ) for  $\mathcal{C}_4^3$ free 3-graphs. All results in this section are obtained by a straightforward case analysis. The reader may wish to skip the proofs and refer only to the statements of the results.

The following lemma implies that  $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$  for any  $\mathcal{C}_4^3$ -free 3-graph  $\mathcal{G}$  except possibly for  $i \in$ {0, 1, 3}. Recall that  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  denotes the 3-graph with edges {*xyz*:  $xz \in {X \choose 2}$ ,  $y \in Y$ }.

**Lemma 5.** For an arbitrary  $C_4^3$ -free 3-graph G, each pair ab of vertices is a diagonal for either 0, 1, or 3 link *graphs. In the last case, there is a* 3-set *X* such that  $\mathcal{P}(X, ab) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  and, moreover, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_4(ab, X \setminus x)$ *is the unique cycle of the link graph* G*<sup>x</sup> that has ab as a diagonal.*

**Proof.** Suppose that *ab* is a diagonal for a least two link graphs, say,  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{x'})$  for some distinct  $x, x' \in V(G)$ . Let the corresponding 4-cycles be  $C_4(ab, cd) \subseteq G_x$  and  $C_4(ab, c'd') \subseteq G_{x'}$ .

Let us first derive a contradiction by assuming that  $x' \notin cd$ . At least one of two distinct vertices *c'* and *d'* is not equal to *x*, so assume without loss of generality that  $d' \neq x$ . Likewise, by the symmetry of *cd*, we can assume that  $c \neq d'$ . But then  $C_4^3(xc, ab, x'd') \subseteq G$ , a contradiction.

This shows that  $x' \in cd$ . Thus *ab* can be a diagonal for at most three link graphs of G. Without loss of generality assume that  $x' = c$  (thus  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_c)$ ). It follows that  $\mathcal{C}_4(ab, cd)$  is the unique cycle of  $G_x$  having *ab* for a diagonal: if  $av, bv \in G_x$  with  $v \notin cd$ , then  $C_4(ab, dv)$  is another 4-cycle with the diagonal *ab* that omits the vertex  $c = x'$ , a contradiction to the arguments from the previous paragraph. Clearly, the roles of  $c$  and  $x$  can be interchanged. Thus the unique 4-cycle in  $\mathcal{G}_c$  that has *ab* for a diagonal has to use the vertex *x*. If  $C_4(ab, ex) \subseteq G_c$  with  $e \neq d$ , then  $C_4^3(ce, ab, dx) \subseteq G_c$ a contradiction. Otherwise, the unique cycle is  $C_4(ab, dx) \subseteq G_c$ . It follows that  $P(cdx, ab) \subseteq G$  and *ab* ∈  $D_3$ (*G*). By symmetry,  $C_4$ (*cx*, *ab*) is the unique 4-cycle of  $G_d$  that has *ab* as a diagonal. The lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.** Let G be a  $C_4^3$ -free 3-graph and let  $\mathcal{P}(xyz,ab) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . If auv, buv  $\in \mathcal{G}$ , then uv  $\subseteq$  xyz.

**Proof.** If  $uv \nsubseteq xyz$ , then by symmetry we can assume that  $xy \cap uv = \emptyset$ . But then  $C_4^3(uv, ab, xy) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 7.** Let G be a  $C_4^3$ -free 3-graph and let  $\mathcal{P}(T, P), \mathcal{P}(T', P') \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  be such that  $T \neq T', T = t_1t_2t_2$  and  $T' = t_1't_2't_3'$  are 3-element sets while  $P \supseteq p_1p_2$  and  $P' \supseteq p_1'p_2'$  have at least 2 elements each. If

$$
\left(\binom{T}{2} \cup \binom{P}{2}\right) \cap \left(\binom{T'}{2} \cup \binom{P'}{2}\right) \neq \emptyset,
$$

*then*  $|P| = |P'| = 2$ ,  $T \cup P = T' \cup P'$ , and the 5-set  $T \cup P$  spans a  $K_5^-$ -subgraph in G.

**Proof.** We have  $p_1 p_2 \neq p'_1 p'_2$  for otherwise the pair  $p_1 p_2$  is a diagonal for at least four different link graphs  $\mathcal{G}_x$  (namely, for  $x \in T \cup T'$ ), contradicting Lemma 5. Up to a symmetry, there are the following two cases to consider.

**Case 1.**  $T' = \{t_1, t_2, t'_3\}.$ 

Since  $T \neq T'$ , we have  $t_3 \neq t'_3$ . Let us show that  $p'_1 \in p_1p_2t_3$ . Indeed, otherwise at least one of  $p_1 \neq p_2$  is distinct from  $t'_3$ , say  $p_1 \neq t'_3$ . But then  $C_4^3(p_1t_3, t_1t_2, p'_1t'_3) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction. By symmetry,  $p'_2 \in p_1 p_2 t_3$  and  $p_1, p_2 \in p'_1 p'_2 t'_3$ . Since  $p_1 p_2 \neq p'_1 p'_2$ , we have  $t'_3 \in p_1 p_2$  and  $t_3 \in p'_1 p'_2$ .

If there is a vertex  $p_3 \in P \setminus p_1 p_2$ , then the above arguments with  $p_1$  replaced by  $p_3$  imply that  $T \cup p_1 p_2 p_3 \subseteq T' \cup p'_1 p'_2$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $|P| = 2$  and, by symmetry,  $|P'| = 2$ . This in turn implies that  $T \cup P = T' \cup P'$ . It routinely follows that at most one triple of  $T \cup P$  (namely  $P \cup P'$ ) can be missing from  $G$ , settling Case 1.

**Case 2.** Let  $p'_1 = t_1$  and  $p'_2 = t_2$ .

Suppose first that  $T' \nsubseteq T \cup p_1p_2$ . By symmetry, we can assume that  $t'_1 \notin T \cup p_1p_2$ . If  $p_1 \notin T'$ , then one of  $t'_2 \neq t'_3$  is not equal to  $t_3$ , say  $t'_2 \neq t_3$ , and we have  $C_4^3(p_1t_3, t_1t_2, t'_1t'_2) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction. Hence  $p_1 \in T'$ . Likewise,  $p_2 \in T'$ . Since  $t'_1 \notin p_1p_2$ , we have  $t'_2t'_3 = p_1p_2$ . But then  $C_4^3(t'_1t'_2, t_1t_2, t_3t'_3) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $T' \subseteq T \cup p_1p_2$ , that is,  $T' = p_1p_2t_3$ . Since  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  were arbitrary, we conclude that  $|P| = 2$ . We cannot have another vertex  $p'_3 \in P' \setminus p'_1 p'_2$  for otherwise  $C_4^3(t_1t_2, p_1p_2, p'_3t_3) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|P'| = 2$  and  $T \cup P = T' \cup P'$ . It is routine to see that most two triples can be missing from  $G[T \cup P]$ , namely *T* and *T'* with  $|T \cap T'| = 1$ .

The lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

Let us call any element of  $\binom{T}{2} \cup \binom{P}{2}$ , where  $|T| = 3$  and  $|P| \geqslant 2$ , a *private pair* of the 3-graph  $P(T, P)$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{K}_5^-$ . Then for every two distinct points  $x, y \in V(G)$  there are two triples in  $G$  whose sym*metric difference is xy.*

**Proof.** Assume  $V(G) = abcde$  with possible missing triples being *abc* and *cde*. Up to a symmetry, there are three different cases to consider. If *xy* is respectively *ab*, *ac*, and *ad*, then the required triples are  $uvx, uvy \in G$ , where  $uv$  is respectively *de*, *bd*, and *be*.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 9.** Let G be a  $C_4^3$ -free 3-graph and ab  $\in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ . Then there is exactly one pair uv with auv, buv  $\in \mathcal{G}$ .

**Proof.** Let *u* be such that  $ab \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_u)$ . This means that there is a vertex *v* such that  $av, bv \in \mathcal{G}_u$ , which implies the existence of the desired pair *uv*. On the other hand, if there was another such pair *u'v'*, then we would obtain a contradiction: if  $uv \cap u'v' = \emptyset$ , then  $C_4^3(uv, ab, u'v') \subseteq G$ , otherwise  $ab ∈ D(G)$ .  $□$ 

#### **5. Removing diagonals in 3-graphs**

Recall that, for a 3-graph G and an integer  $i \ge 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_i(G)$  consists of all pairs ab such that ab is a diagonal in exactly *i* link graphs  $G_x$ . Also,

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{x \in V(\mathcal{G})} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x),
$$

is the set of all diagonals, ignoring their multiplicity.

Here we prove a version of Lemma 3 for 3-graphs. We will show that one can destroy all diagonals of a  $C_4^3$ -free 3-graph G by removing at most  $|\mathcal{D}(G)|$  edges. Although we cannot prevent some diagonals of G becoming half-diagonals of the final 3-graph  $G'$ , we nonetheless get some control over their distribution. We do need the assumption that  $C_4^3 \nsubseteq G$ : for example, one has to remove  $\Omega(n^3)$  edges in order to destroy all diagonals in the complete 3-graph  $\binom{[n]}{3}$ . As we already know by Lemma 5, this assumption implies that  $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G})$  is empty except possibly for  $i = 0, 1$ , or 3. It is not surprising that the main idea behind the proof of Lemma 10 below is to apply Lemma 3 to each link graph G*x*.

**Lemma 10.** Let G be a  $\mathcal{C}_4^3$ -free 3-graph with vertex set V. Let  $\preccurlyeq$  be an arbitrary linear ordering of V. Then *there is a subgraph*  $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that all the following properties hold.

1.  $|\mathcal{G}| - |\mathcal{G}'| \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})|$ .

- 2.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}') = \emptyset$ , that is, all link graphs of  $\mathcal{G}'$  are  $\mathcal{C}_4$ -free.
- 3. If ab  $\in D_1(G)$ , then there do not exist  $u, v \in V \setminus ab$  with  $auv, buv \in G'$ . (This property implies that  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}') \cap \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset.$

4. *If ab*  $\in \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G})$ *, say* 

$$
ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_y) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_z), \quad \text{with } x \prec y \prec z,
$$
\n<sup>(6)</sup>

and auv, buv  $\in$   $\mathcal{G}'$ , then necessarily uv  $=$  yz.

**Proof.** We take the vertices of G one by one in the  $\prec$ -ordering. For each  $x \in V$ , we construct a set  $\mathcal{R}_x$ of edges so that  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus (\bigcup_{x \in V} \mathcal{R}_x)$  satisfies all the properties. In order to establish Property 1, we also define an injection  $\ell: \bigcup_{x \in V} R_x \to D(G)$ . Since we will need to refer to the original graph G later, it remains unchanged throughout the proof. For  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ , let

$$
f(ab) = \min_{\preceq} \{ y \in V : ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_y) \},\tag{7}
$$

be the  $\le$ -smallest vertex *y* ∈ *V* with  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_v)$ .

Suppose we are about to start working on the next vertex  $x \in V$ . Define  $V_{\leq x} = \{y \in V : y \leq x\}$  and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{x} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{y \in V_{\prec x}} \mathcal{R}_{y} \right). \tag{8}
$$

One can view  $\mathcal{H}^x$  as the 'current' 3-graph at the moment when we have just deleted the sets  $\mathcal{R}_v$  for all *y* preceding *x*.

Apply Lemma 3 to  $\mathcal{H}_x^x$ , the link graph of the vertex *x* in the 3-graph  $\mathcal{H}^x$ . The lemma returns and  $\mathcal{H}^x$ . edge set  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_x^{\chi}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}'_x = \{abx : ab \in \mathcal{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{\chi}$  and  $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}^{\chi} \setminus \mathcal{R}'_x$ . Extend the function  $\ell$  to  $\mathcal{R}'_x$  by  $\max_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{x}}$  injectively into  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{x}}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{x}})$ . (Note that  $|\mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{x}}| \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{x}})|$  by Lemma 3.) We will argue later that no new value of  $\ell$  coincides with a previous value.

Let  $\mathcal{R}_x'' = \emptyset$ . Take one by one pairs  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x) \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_x^x)$ . If  $x = f(ab)$ , where  $f(ab)$  is defined by (7), and there is a vertex *w* with  $aw, bw \in H'_x$  (of course, if *w* exists, it is unique because  $H'_x$  is  $C_4$ -free), and  $awx \notin \mathcal{R}'_x$ , then add  $awx$  to  $\mathcal{R}''_x$  and define  $\ell(awx) = ab$ . (To avoid any ambiguity, we may agree that, for example,  $a \lt b$ .) Otherwise, we do nothing with  $\mathcal{R}_x''$  for this pair *ab*. Having processed all  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x) \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_x^{\times})$  this way, let  $\mathcal{R}_x = \mathcal{R}'_x \cup \mathcal{R}''_x$ . Note that  $\mathcal{R}_x \subseteq \mathcal{H}^x$  is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{y \in V_{\prec x}} \mathcal{R}_y$ by (8). The function  $\ell$  has already been defined on the elements of this set; we have

$$
\ell(\mathcal{R}_x) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x). \tag{9}
$$

This finishes the definition of R*x*.

Being done with *x*, take the next vertex of *V* with respect the  $\preccurlyeq$ -ordering. If *x* is the last vertex, then we have defined  $\mathcal{R}_y$  for every  $y \in V$  and we let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus (\bigcup_{y \in V} \mathcal{R}_y)$ .

We have achieved that, for every  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_\chi)$  with  $x = f(ab)$ , the link graph  $(\mathcal{H}^\chi \setminus \mathcal{R}_\chi)_\chi$  contains no 2-path connecting *a* to *b*. Indeed, this follows from Lemma 3 if  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_x^{\times})$  and from the definition of  $\mathcal{R}_x''$  otherwise. Thus, for every  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  we have

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{G}'_x}(ab) = \mu_{(\mathcal{H}^x \setminus \mathcal{R}_x)_x}(ab) = 0, \quad \text{where } x = f(ab).
$$
\n(10)

Let us show all the claims with respect to the final 3-graph  $G'$ .

*Property 1.* It suffices to show that  $\ell: \bigcup_{x \in V} R_x \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  is an injection.

Let  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  be arbitrary and let  $x = f(ab)$ . Let us show that if  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  belongs to  $\ell(\mathcal{R}_u)$  for some  $u \in V$ , then  $u = x$ . This is clearly true for  $ab \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G})$  by (9), so suppose that  $ab \in \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G})$ . By Lemma 5, there is a pair  $yz \in {V \choose 2}$  with  $P(xyz, ab) \subseteq G$ . Since  $x = f(ab)$ , we have  $x \prec y$  and  $x \prec z$ . By (9), we have  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_u)$ . Hence  $u \in xyz$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $u \neq x$ , say  $u = y$ . Since  $x = f(ab)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^y \subseteq \mathcal{H}^x \setminus \mathcal{R}_x$ , (10) implies that at least one of the triples *axy* and *bxy* is missing from  $\mathcal{H}^y$ . But  $\mathcal{C}_4(ab,xz)$  is the unique 4-cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_y$  having *ab* for a diagonal by the second part of Lemma 5. Hence  $ab \notin \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}^y)$ . Thus  $ab \notin \ell(\mathcal{R}'_y)$ . Also,  $ab \notin \ell(\mathcal{R}''_y)$  because  $y \neq f(ab)$ . So  $ab \notin \ell(\mathcal{R}_y)$ , a contradiction that proves that  $u = x$  as claimed.

Hence, it is enough to show that  $\ell$  is injective on  $\mathcal{R}_x = \mathcal{R}'_x \cup \mathcal{R}''_x$  for every  $x \in V$ . Note that  $\ell(\mathcal{R}'_x) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_x^x)$  is disjoint from  $\ell(\mathcal{R}_x'') \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x) \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_x^x)$ . The injectivity of  $\ell$  on each of  $\mathcal{R}'_x$  and  $\mathcal{R}''_x$  is obvious from the definition. Thus  $\ell$  is an injection, as required.

*Property 2* clearly holds because Lemma 3 was applied to the *x*-link graph of the current hypergraph for each  $x \in V$ .

*Property 3.* Suppose on the contrary that *ab* and *uv* contradict it. Let  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_x)$ . Choose a 4-cycle demonstrating this fact, say  $C_4(ab, cd) \subseteq G_x$  for some vertices *c* and *d*. We cannot have  $x \in uv$  because  $x = f(ab)$  and there is no 2-path connecting *a* to *b* in  $\mathcal{G}'_x$  by (10). If  $uv = cd$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(cdx, ab) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , which shows that  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_\chi) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_c) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_d)$ , a contradiction to our assumption  $ab \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G})$ . Otherwise (if  $uv \neq cd$ ), we can assume by symmetry that  $c \notin uv$ , but then  $C_4^3(uv, ab, xc) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction proving Property 3.

*Property 4.* Suppose that vertices *a*, *b*, *x*, *y*, *z* and a pair *uv* satisfy all assumptions of Property 4. Lemma 5 implies that  $P(xyz, ab) \subseteq G$  and Lemma 6 implies that  $uv \subseteq xyz$ . Since  $x = f(ab)$ , the link graph  $G'_x$  cannot contain a 2-path connecting *a* and *b* by (10). Thus we have  $uv = yz$ , as required.

The lemma is completely proved.  $\Box$ 

**Remark.** In fact, Property 2 follows from Properties 3–4 (and the  $\mathcal{C}_4^3$ -freeness of  $\mathcal{G}$ ) but it is convenient to have it explicitly stated.

The following lemma is needed in the proof of Theorem 2 but not in that of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 11.** Let G be an arbitrary  $\mathcal{C}_4^3$ -free 3-graph on an n-set V . Then we can find a set of edges  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{R}$  does not contain a  $\mathcal{K}_5^-$ -subgraph and

$$
\left|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}')\cup\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}')\right|\leqslant\binom{n}{2}-|\mathcal{R}|.\tag{11}
$$

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq {V \choose 5}$  be the family of the vertex sets of all  $K_5^-$ -subgraphs of  $G$ .

Let us show that

$$
A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \neq B \implies |A \cap B| \leqslant 1. \tag{12}
$$

Let *A*, *B* ∈ *A*. Since *G* is  $C_4^3$ -free, Lemma 8 implies that  $|A \cap B| = 2$  is impossible. Suppose next that  $A \cap B = xyz$ . Let  $A \setminus xyz = uv$ . Every two of the triples *uvx*, *uvy*, *uvz* share two vertices so at most one can be missing from G because  $G[A] \in \mathcal{K}_5^-$ . Assume that  $uvx, uvy \in G$ . Lemma 8, when applied to *xy* and *B*, produces *u'*,  $v' \in B$  with  $u'v'x$ ,  $u'v'y \in G$ . This gives  $C_4^3(uv, xy, u'v') \subseteq G$ , a contradiction. Finally, let us derive a contradiction by assuming that  $|A \cap B| = 4$ . Since this can make our task only harder, assume that two edges are missing from  $\mathcal{G}[A]$  (respectively  $\mathcal{G}[B]$ ). Let  $a \in A$  (respectively  $b \in B$ ) be the vertex shared by these two edges. The 3-graph  $\mathcal{G}[A]$  contains two  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -subgraphs whose private pairs form two triangles sharing the vertex *a*. (Recall that  $P_2$  is a copy of  $P(xyz, uv)$  and its private pairs are *uv*, *xy*, *xz*, and *yz*.) A quadruple  $X = A \cap B$  of vertices contains either 2 disjoint private pairs (if  $a \notin X$ ) or a triangle with a pendant edge (if  $a \in X$ ). The analogous claims hold for *B*. Since  $A \neq B$ , the 3-graphs  $\mathcal{G}[A]$  and  $\mathcal{G}[B]$  cannot share a private pair by Lemma 7. It follows that  $a, b \notin X$  and the missing edges are  $auv, axy, bux, bvy$ , where  $X = uvxy$ . But then  $C_4^3(uy, ab, vx) \subseteq G$ . This contradiction proves (12).

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{S}$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{G}[A]$  consists of what we call *main triples* and

$$
\mathcal{S} = \{ E \in \mathcal{G} : \ \exists A \in \mathcal{A}, \ |E \cap A| = 2 \},\
$$

consists of all *secondary triples*. Let  $G' = G \setminus R$ . Let us define the logic predicate  $L(x, y, uv, A)$  which is true if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $u, v, y \in A$ ,  $x \in V \setminus A$ , and  $uvx, uvy \in \mathcal{G}$ .

In order to prove the lemma it is enough to specify a set  $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \binom{V}{2}$  of pairs such that  $|\mathcal{R}'| \geqslant |\mathcal{R}|$ and

$$
\mathcal{R}' \cap \big(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}') \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}')\big) = \emptyset. \tag{13}
$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}' = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} {A \choose 2}$  consist of what we call *main pairs*. Let us call a pair  $xy \in {V \choose 2}$  a *secondary pair* if there are u, v, and A satisfying  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$  or  $\mathcal{L}(y, x, uv, A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq {y \choose 2}$  consist of all secondary pairs. Let us show that  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{M}' \cup \mathcal{S}'$  is the required set.

Let us check (13) first. Suppose on the contrary to (13) that  $xy \in \mathcal{R}'$  and  $ab \in \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  satisfy *abx*, *aby* ∈ G'. If *xy* lies inside some *A* ∈ A (i.e. it is a main pair), then *a* ∉ *A* and *b* ∉ A for otherwise, for example, *aby* belongs to  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}'$ , a contradiction. By Lemma 8, there are  $a', b' \in A$ with  $a'b'x, a'b'y \in \mathcal{G}$ . But then  $C_4^3(ab, xy, a'b') \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , a contradiction. So suppose that *xy* is a secondary pair, which is witnessed by  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$ . Since  $aby \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ , we have  $a \notin A$  and  $b \notin A$ . But then  $C_4^3(ab, xy, uv) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction proving (13).

Thus, in order to finish the proof of the lemma, it is enough to show that  $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |\mathcal{R}'|$ . Inequality (12) implies that  $|\mathcal{M}| = 10|\mathcal{A}| \ge |\mathcal{M}|$ .

It remains to consider secondary pairs and triples. Let *xy* be an arbitrary secondary pair with  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$  being true. Observe that *xy* cannot be a subset of some  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, otherwise  $A \cap B = \{y\}$  by (12) while there are  $u'v' \in B$  with  $u'v'x, u'v'y \in G$  by Lemma 8, giving  $\mathcal{C}^3_4(u'v',xy,uv) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{S}' = \emptyset$ . (It also holds that  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ but we do not need this fact.)

**Claim 1.** Suppose that  $L(x, y, uv, A)$  holds for some x, y, uv, and A. Then there are no u'v' and A' such that  $A' \neq A$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, u'v', A')$  is true. Moreover, there are at most 2 choices of an unordered pair  $u'v'$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, u'v', A)$ *.* 

**Proof.** If the first statement is false, then  $A \cap A' = \{y\}$  by (12), and  $C_4^3(uv, xy, u'v') \subseteq G$ , a contradiction.

Suppose on the contrary to the second statement that the link graph  $G_x$  has at least three witness pairs inside the 4-element set  $A \setminus \gamma$ . Three of these pairs form either a triangle  $\{u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_2u_3\}$ a star  $\{u_0u_1, u_0u_2, u_0u_3\}$ , or a path  $\{u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3u_4\}$ .

Suppose that we have the triangle. Let *z* be the unique vertex of  $A \setminus u_1u_2u_3y$ . Then every two of the triples  $u_1 yz$ ,  $u_2 yz$ , and  $u_3 yz$  share two vertices, so at most one of the triples can be missing from G (because  $G[A] \in \mathcal{K}_5^-$ ). By symmetry, assume that  $u_1 yz, u_2 yz \in \mathcal{G}$ . But then  $C_4^3(u_3x, u_1u_2, yz) \subseteq G$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that we have the 3-star. Like before, at least two of the triples  $u_1u_2y$ ,  $u_1u_3y$ , and  $u_2u_3y$ are present in G, say  $u_1u_2y$  and  $u_1u_3y$ . But then  $\mathcal{C}_4^3(u_0x, u_2u_3, u_1y) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , a contradiction.

Finally, we cannot have the 3-path for otherwise  $C_4^3(u_1u_2, yx, u_3u_4) \subseteq G$ . Claim 1 is proved.  $\Box$ 

Let us define the auxiliary bipartite graph  $H$  with parts  $S$  and  $S'$ , where for every satisfied predicate  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$  we put an edge between *xy* and *uvx*. Note that  $uvx \in \mathcal{G}$  is necessarily a secondary triple because it intersects  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  in exactly two vertices, *u* and *v*. Also, we do not have to worry about multiple edges in H because if  $\{xy, uvx\} \in H$  then there is the unique A with  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$  by Claim 1.

Let us show that for every edge  $\{xy, uvx\} \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$
d(xy) \leq d(uvx),\tag{14}
$$

where *d* denotes the degree of a vertex in the graph  $H$ .

Suppose first that there are no *A'* and  $u'v'$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(y, x, u'v', A')$  holds. Claim 1 implies that *d*(*xy*) ≤ 2. On the other hand, pick the (unique) set *A* ∈ *A* with *A* ∩ *uvx* = *uv*. Then there are at least two choices of  $z \in A \setminus uv$  with  $\mathcal{L}(x, z, uv, A)$ . (Indeed, since  $\mathcal{G}[A] \in \mathcal{K}_5^-$ , at most one triple  $uvz$  with *z* ∈ *A* \ *uv* can be missing from *G*.) Thus *d*(*uvx*) ≥ 2, as required.

It remains to assume that both  $\mathcal{L}(x, y, uv, A)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(y, x, u'v', A')$  hold for some A, A', and  $u'v'$ . By Claim 1, *A* and *A'* are uniquely determined while we have at most two choices for each of *uv* and  $u'v'$ . Hence  $d(xy) \le 4$ . By considering a possible generalized 4-cycle  $C_4^3(uv, xy, u'v')$ , we conclude that  $uv \cap u'w' \neq \emptyset$ , say  $u = u'$ . By (12),  $A \cap A' = \{u\}$ . Thus  $uvx$  intersects each of *A* and *A'* in exactly two vertices. By the argument of the previous paragraph, there are at least two choices of  $z \in A \setminus uv$ satisfying  $\mathcal{L}(x, z, uv, A)$  and at least two choices of  $z' \in A' \setminus ux$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}(v, z', ux, A')$ . Moreover,

the four corresponding secondary pairs are pairwise different. (Two of them contain *x* but not *v* and two contain *v* but not *x*.) Hence,  $d(uvx) \ge 4$ . This proves (14).

Let us assign weights to the edges of H so that an edge  $\{xy, uvx\} \in \mathcal{H}$  gets weight  $1/d(xy)$ . Then the total edge weight is equal to  $|S'|$ . On the other hand, for every  $u v x \in S$ , the sum of the weights of all edges incident to *uvx* is at least 1 by (14). Hence  $|S'| \geq |S|$  and we obtain

$$
|\mathcal{R}| \leqslant |\mathcal{M}| + |\mathcal{S}| \leqslant |\mathcal{M}'| + |\mathcal{S}'| = |\mathcal{R}'|,
$$

proving the lemma.  $\Box$ 

#### **6. Upper bounds on**  $f_r(n)$  **for general**  $r$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Given  $r \ge 3$ , we choose some real  $\sigma$  (to be specified later) with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . Let *n* be sufficiently large and let  $G$  be an arbitrary  $C_4^r$ -free *r*-graph on an *n*-set *V*.

Let *C* ⊆ *V* be a random uniformly distributed subset of size  $(r - 2)t$ , where

$$
t = \left\lfloor \frac{(1 - \sigma)n}{r - 2} \right\rfloor. \tag{15}
$$

Let *S* = *V* \ *C* and *s* = |*S*|. Take a random partition of *C* into  $(r-2)$ -sets  $C_1, \ldots, C_t$ , all partitions being equally likely. Let *T* = [*t*]. (We assume that  $[t] \cap V = \emptyset$ .)

Define a 3-graph  $H$  on  $S \cup T$  by including those triples *abx* such that  $ab \in {S \choose 2}$ ,  $x \in T$ , and *ab* ∪  $C_x \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since a permutation of *V* does not change the distribution of  $(C, C_1, \ldots, C_t)$ , any two *r*-subsets of *V* are equally likely to contribute a triple to  $H$ . This common probability is  $\binom{s}{2}t/\binom{n}{r}$  because the complete *r*-graph  $\binom{V}{r}$  would contribute exactly  $\binom{s}{2}t$  triples. Let us choose  $C, C_1, \ldots, C_t$  so that  $|\mathcal{H}|$  is at least its expected value, that is,

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \geqslant |\mathcal{G}| \frac{\binom{5}{2}t}{\binom{n}{r}}.
$$
\n<sup>(16)</sup>

Since every edge of  $H$  intersects  $T$  in exactly one vertex, that is,

$$
|E \cap T| = 1, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{17}
$$

it is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{C}_4^3 \nsubseteq \mathcal{H}$  (for otherwise  $\mathcal{C}_4^r \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ). Thus we can apply Lemma 10 to  $\mathcal{H}$ , with an arbitrary ordering  $\preccurlyeq$ , obtaining a subgraph  $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  that satisfies Properties 1–4.

By (17), we have  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq {S \choose 2} \cup {T \choose 2}$ , that is, no *xy* with  $x \in T$  and  $y \in S$  can be a diagonal in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, by Property 1 of Lemma 10 we have

$$
h := |\mathcal{H}| - |\mathcal{H}'| \leq \left| \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \cap {S \choose 2} \right| + {t \choose 2}.
$$
 (18)

By Lemma 5,  $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$  except possibly for  $i \in \{0, 1, 3\}$ . Also, (17) implies that any subgraph  $\mathcal{P}(xyz,u) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $u \in T$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{H}) \cap {5 \choose 2} = \emptyset$ . By Property 3 of Lemma 10, we conclude that

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}') \cap {S \choose 2} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) \cap {S \choose 2} \subseteq {S \choose 2} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}).
$$

Hence, by (18),

$$
\left| \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}') \cap {S \choose 2} \right| \leq {s \choose 2} - \left| \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \cap {S \choose 2} \right| \leq {s \choose 2} + {t \choose 2} - h. \tag{19}
$$

Let us derive a contradiction by assuming that  $uv \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}'_x) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}'_y)$  for some  $uv \in {S \choose 2}$  and two different  $x, y \in T$ . Choose witnesses c and d with  $cu, cv \in \mathcal{H}'_x$  and  $du, dv \in \mathcal{H}'_y$ . Then  $c, d \in S$ , so they are different from *x* and *y*. If  $c = d$ , this gives  $C_4(uv, xy) \subseteq H'_c$ , a contradiction to Property 2 of Lemma 10. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{C}_4^3(cx, uv, dy) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , a contradiction again. Hence,

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}'_x) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}'_y) \cap {S \choose 2} = \emptyset, \quad \forall xy \in {T \choose 2}.
$$
 (20)

**Table 1**



We apply Lemma 4 to each link graph  $\mathcal{H}'_x$  with  $x \in T$ . (Recall that  $\mathcal{H}'_x$  is  $\mathcal{C}_4$ -free by Property 2 of Lemma 10.) We conclude by (17) and (20) that

$$
2|\mathcal{H}'| - st = \sum_{x \in T} (2|\mathcal{H}'_x| - s) \leqslant \left| \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}') \cap {S \choose 2} \right|.
$$

This, (18), and (19) imply that

$$
|\mathcal{H}| = |\mathcal{H}'| + h \leq \frac{{\binom{5}{2}} + {\binom{t}{2}} - h + st}{2} + h \leq {\binom{5}{2}} + {\binom{t}{2}} + \frac{st}{2}.
$$

This, (16), equality  $s = n - (r - 2)t$ , and (15) imply that, when *r* is fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$
|\mathcal{G}| \leq |\mathcal{H}| \times \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{\binom{s}{2}t} \leq \left(\binom{s}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + \frac{st}{2}\right) \times \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{\binom{s}{2}t}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{\sigma^2(r^2 - 5r + 7) + \sigma(r - 4) + 1}{r(r - 2)(-\sigma^3 + \sigma^2)} + o(1)\right)\binom{n}{r - 1}.
$$
(21)

If we set  $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{r}$ , then we obtain

$$
|\mathcal{G}| \leqslant \bigg(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{r}}-\frac{2\sqrt{r}-3}{(\sqrt{r}-1)(r-2)\sqrt{r}}+o(1)\bigg)\binom{n}{r-1},
$$

which implies that  $\phi_r \leqslant 1 + 2/\sqrt{r}$ . The bound  $\phi_r \leqslant 7/4$  follows from the assignment  $\sigma = 2/r$ .

**Remark.** The optimal  $\sigma(r)$ , the one that would minimize the coefficient at  $\binom{n}{r-1}$  in (21), can be found by solving a cubic equation and, in fact, satisfies  $\sigma(r) = (1 + o(1))/\sqrt{r}$  as  $r \to \infty$ . Table 1 lists the numerical values of the upper bound on  $\phi_r$  given by the proof of Theorem 1 with the optimal  $\sigma(r)$ for some small *r*.

#### **7.** The case  $r = 3$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be an arbitrary  $\mathcal C_4^3$ -free 3-graph on an *n*-set *V*. First, we apply Lemma 11 to *G* to obtain an edge set  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{R}$  be the corresponding  $\mathcal{K}_5^-$ -free subgraph of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $m = |\mathcal{R}| + |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}')|$ . Lemma 11 ensures that

$$
\left|\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}')\right| \leqslant \binom{n}{2} - m. \tag{22}
$$

Next, we fix some ordering  $\preccurlyeq$  of *V* and apply Lemma 10 to G' with respect to  $\preccurlyeq$  to obtain a subgraph  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}'$ . We have  $|\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{H}| \leq m$ .

For  $xyz \in {V \choose 3}$  let  $P(xyz) = {a \in V: P(xyz, a) \subseteq G'}$  consist of those vertices  $a \in V$  such that  $axy$ *,* $axz$ *,* $ayz \in G'$ *. For every pair*  $yz \in {V \choose 2}$  *we do the following. If there exists a vertex*  $x \in V$  *such* that *x*  $\lt$  *y*, *x*  $\lt$  *z*, and  $|P(xyz)| \ge 2$ , then let *x*(*yz*) be this vertex *x* and let

$$
S(yz) = \{a \in P(xyz): \, ayz \in \mathcal{H}\} = P(xyz) \cap \mathcal{H}_{yz}.
$$

(Note that if *x* exists, it is unique by Lemma 7 because  $K_5 \nsubseteq \mathcal{G}'$ .) Otherwise, we set  $x(yz) = 0$  and *S*(*yz*) = Ø, where 0 ∉ *V* is some fixed element with 0 ≺ *v* for every *v* ∈ *V*. Also, we let *s*(*yz*) = |*S*(*yz*)|. By Property 2 of Lemma 10, we have  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \emptyset$ . Let us show that

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}') \cup \left(\bigcup_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} {S(yz) \choose 2}\right).
$$
\n(23)

Suppose that  $ab \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}')$ , say  $auv, buv \in \mathcal{H}$  for some  $u, v \in V$ . Since  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}'$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq$  $\mathcal{E}(G') \cup \mathcal{D}(G')$ . Thus  $ab \in \mathcal{D}(G')$ . By Property 3 of Lemma 10, we have  $ab \in \mathcal{D}_3(G')$ . By Lemma 5, there is a triple *xyz* ∈  $\binom{V}{3}$  with  $ab \subseteq P(xyz)$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $x \prec y \prec z$ . By Property 4 of Lemma 10,  $uv = yz$ . By the definition of *S*(*yz*), we have  $a, b \in S(yz)$ , proving (23).

For  $yz \in {V \choose 2}$ , recall that  $\mathcal{H}_{yz} = \{x \in V : xyz \in \mathcal{H}\}$ ; let  $h_{yz} = |\mathcal{H}_{yz}|$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_3^3$ -free and every link graph  $\mathcal{H}_x$  is  $\mathcal{C}_4$ -free by Property 2 of Lemma 10, we conclude by Lemma 9, (22) and (23) that

$$
\sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} {h_{yz} \choose 2} = |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})| \leq {n \choose 2} - m + \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} {s(yz) \choose 2}.
$$
\n(24)

Let  $S_i = \{ yz \in {y \choose 2}: s(yz) = i \}, s_i = |\mathcal{S}_i|$ , and  $S_{\geq 3} = \bigcup_{i \geq 3} S_i$ .

**Claim 1.** *The following inequality holds*:

$$
\sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} h_{yz} \leqslant 2{n \choose 2} - m + \sum_{i \geqslant 2} (i-1)s_i.
$$
\n(25)

**Proof.** Let us maximize  $\sigma = \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} h_{yz}$  over non-negative integers  $h_{yz}$ , given that (24) holds,  $h_{yz} \geqslant s(yz)$  for every  $yz \in {V \choose 2}$ , and

$$
\sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} {h_{yz} \choose 2} \leq {n \choose 2}.
$$
\n(26)

(The last inequality holds for otherwise we get either a copy of  $C_4^3$  in H or a copy of  $C_4$  in a link graph of  $H$ , a contradiction.)

Take an optimal integer vector  $\mathbf{h} = (h_{yz})_{yz \in {V \choose 2}}$ . Suppose first that  $h_{yz} > s(yz)$  for some  $yz \in S_{\geq 3}$ . By (26), there is a pair *ab* with  $h_{ab}\leqslant 1.$  We decrease  $h_{yz}$  by 1 and increase  $h_{ab}$  by 2. The left-hand side of (24) changes by

$$
{h_{ab}+2 \choose 2}-{h_{ab} \choose 2}+{h_{yz}-1 \choose 2}-{h_{yz} \choose 2}\le {3 \choose 2}-{1 \choose 2}+{3 \choose 2}-{4 \choose 2}=0.
$$

Thus we still have a feasible solution while the sum of the entries of **h** strictly increases. This contradicts the optimality of **h**. Thus we have  $h_{yz} = s(yz)$  for all  $yz \in S_{\geqslant 3}$ . We have

$$
-\binom{n}{2} + \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} h_{yz} = \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} (h_{yz} - 1) \leq \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2} \setminus S_{\geq 3}} {h_{yz} \choose 2} + \sum_{i \geq 3} (i - 1)s_i.
$$

Also, by (24),

$$
\sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2} \setminus S_{\geqslant 3}} {h_{yz} \choose 2} = \sum_{yz \in {V \choose 2}} {h_{yz} \choose 2} - \sum_{i \geqslant 3} {i \choose 2} s_i \leqslant {n \choose 2} - m + \sum_{i \geqslant 2} {i \choose 2} s_i - \sum_{i \geqslant 3} {i \choose 2} s_i.
$$

Claim 1 follows from the last two inequalities.  $\Box$ 

Each yz with  $s(yz) \ge 2$  comes from some  $P(xyz, P(xyz)) \subseteq G'$  with  $|P(xyz)| \ge s(yz)$  while every such  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -subgraph of G' with  $i \geq 2$  gives at most one such pair *yz* because of the relation  $x \prec y$ , *z* in

the definition of *S*(*yz*). Since  $K_5^- \nsubseteq G'$ , the private pairs of these  $P_i$ -subgraphs are distinct from each other by Lemma 7 and all belong to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}')$ . Thus

$$
\sum_{i\geqslant 2} \left(3 + \binom{i}{2}\right) s_i \leqslant \left|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}')\right| = m - |\mathcal{R}|.\tag{27}
$$

It is routine to see that  $i - 1 \leqslant \frac{1}{3}(3 + {i \choose 2})$  for every  $i \geqslant 2$ . Thus (25) and (27) imply that

$$
3|\mathcal{H}| = \sum_{yz \in {y \choose 2}} h_{yz} \leq 2{n \choose 2} - m + \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)s_i
$$
  
 
$$
\leq 2{n \choose 2} - m + \frac{1}{3}(m - |\mathcal{R}|) = 2{n \choose 2} - \frac{2m}{3} - \frac{|\mathcal{R}|}{3}.
$$

We conclude that

$$
|\mathcal{G}| \leq m + |\mathcal{H}| \leq m + \frac{1}{3}\bigg(2\binom{n}{2} - \frac{2m}{3} - \frac{|\mathcal{R}|}{3}\bigg) \leq \frac{7m}{9} + \frac{2}{3}\binom{n}{2}.
$$

Finally,  $m = |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}')| + |\mathcal{R}| \leq \binom{n}{2}$ , giving the required.  $\Box$ 

#### **8. Concluding remarks**

By analyzing the proof of Theorem 2, it should be possible to derive a contradiction from assuming that  $f_3(n) = (13/9 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$  for an infinite sequence of *n*. This would imply that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\phi_3 \leqslant 13/9 - c$ . Unfortunately, a rigorous proof of this would be rather long and messy, especially if one tries to optimize the value of *c*. Therefore, we decided to settle for the current bound of 13*/*9, with a reasonably short and clear proof.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the anonymous referees for helpful remarks.

#### **References**

- [1] W.G. Brown, On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph, Canad. Math. Bull. 9 (1966) 281–289.
- [2] C.R.J. Clapham, A. Flockhart, J. Sheehan, Graphs without four-cycles, J. Graph Theory 13 (1989) 29–47.
- [3] P. Erdős, On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and on some related problems, Inst. Math. Mech. Univ. Tomsk 2 (1938) 74–82.
- [4] P. Erdős, Some recent results on extremal problems in graph theory. Results, in: Theory of Graphs, Internat. Sympos., Rome, 1966, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967, pp. 117–123 (in English); pp. 124–130 (in French).
- [5] P. Erdős, Problems and results in combinatorial analysis, Congr. Numer. 19 (1977) 3-12.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, On a problem in the theory of graphs, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. 7 (1962) 623-641 (in Hungarian).
- [7] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, V.T. Sós, On a problem in the theory of graphs, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966) 215–235.
- [8] Z. Füredi, Graphs without quadrilaterals, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 34 (1983) 187–190.
- [9] Z. Füredi, Hypergraphs in which all disjoint pairs have distinct unions, Combinatorica 4 (1984) 161–168.
- [10] Z. Füredi, On the number of edges of quadrilateral-free graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 68 (1996) 1–6.
- [11] H. Hanani, The existence and construction of balanced incomplete block designs, Ann. Math. Statist. 32 (1961) 361–386.
- [12] H. Hanani, A balanced incomplete block design, Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965) 711.
- [13] D. Mubayi, Some exact results and new asymptotics for hypergraph Turán numbers, Combin. Probab. Comput. 11 (2002) 299–309.
- [14] D. Mubayi, Structure and stability of triangle-free set systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007) 275–291.
- [15] D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, A hypergraph extension of the bipartite Turán problem, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 106 (2004) 237–253.
- [16] D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, Minimal paths and cycles in set systems, European J. Combin. 28 (2007) 1681–1693.
- [17] Y. Yuansheng, P. Rowlinson, On extremal graphs without four-cycles, Util. Math. 41 (1992) 204–210.