# NOTE

## Enumeration of Labelled (k, m)-Trees

Oleg Pikhurko\*

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB2 1SB, United Kingdom E-mail:op201@cam.ac.uk

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received February 12, 1998

A k-graph is called a (k, m)-tree if it can be obtained from a single edge by consecutively adding edges so that every new edge contains k - m new vertices while its remaining m vertices are covered by an already existing edge. We prove that there are

 $\frac{(e(k-m)+m)!(e\binom{k}{m}-e+1)^{e-2}}{e!m!((k-m)!)^{e}}$ 

distinct vertex labelled (k, m)-trees with e edges.  $\mathbb{C}$  1999 Academic Press

The notion of a tree and its different extensions to *k-graphs*, that is, *k*-uniform set systems, play an important role in discrete mathematics and computer science. We will dwell upon the following, rather general, definition suggested independently by Dewdney [5] and Beineke and Pippert [2].

Let us agree that the vertex set is  $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ . Fix the *edge size k* and the *overlap size m*,  $0 \le m \le k - 1$ . We refer to *k*-subsets and *m*-subsets of [n] as *edges* and *laps* respectively. A non-empty *k*-graph without isolated vertices is called a (k, m)-tree if we can order its edges, say  $E_1, ..., E_e$ , so that for every  $i, 2 \le i \le e$ , there is  $i', 1 \le i' < i$ , such that  $|E_i \cap E_{i'}| = m$  and  $(E_i \setminus E_{i'}) \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} E_j) = \emptyset$ . In other words, we start with a single edge and can consecutively affix a new edge along an *m*-subset of an existing edge.

Thus, a (k, m)-tree with e edges has n = e(k - m) + m vertices and its edges cover  $f = e(\binom{k}{m} - 1) + 1$  laps. For example, a (k, 0)-tree consists of disjoint edges.

\* Supported by an External Research Studentship, Trinity College, Cambridge, UK.

197



### NOTE

The problem of counting (m+1, m)-trees which are known in the literature as *m*-trees, received great attention and was completely settled by Beineke and Pippert [1] and Moon [9]. This extends celebrated Cayley's theorem [3] as, clearly, 1-trees correspond to usual (Cayley) trees. Later, different bijective proofs for *m*-trees appeared as well, see [11, 7, 8, 6, 4].

In this paper we enumerate (k, m)-trees. It is not surprising that many of the above counting techniques are applicable here as is, for example, Foata's bijection [7] (details are available from the author [10]). Also, as observed by the referee, our formula can be obtained via Chen's method [4]. We decided to present here an inductive proof which is the shortest one, perhaps.

Let  $T_{km}(e)$  denote the number of (k,m)-trees on [n] with e edges, n = e(k-m) + m, and let  $R_{km}(e)$  count the trees *rooted* at the lap [m], that is, those trees for which [m] is covered by some edge.

**THEOREM 1.** Given integers k, m, e with  $0 \le m \le k-1$  and  $e \ge 1$ , let n = e(k-m) + m,  $l = \binom{k}{m}$  and f = e(l-1) + 1. Then the number of different (k, m)-trees on [n] equals

$$T_{km}(e) = \frac{n!f^{e-2}}{e!m!((k-m)!)^e}.$$
(1)

*Proof.* Like in Beineke and Pippert [1], to prove the theorem, we write down a recurrence relation for  $T_{km}(e)$  and then verify that (1) does satisfy the relation. Let us agree that  $T_{km}(0) = R_{km}(0) = 1$ .

Counting in two different ways the number of pairs (H, L), where H is a (k, m)-tree on [n] rooted at an m-subset L of [n], we obtain

$$\binom{n}{m} R_{km}(e) = f \cdot T_{km}(e).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Next, consider the following method for constructing trees. Select an edge E, a k-subset of [n], and label by  $L_1, ..., L_l$  the laps of E. Represent e-1 as a sum of l non-negative integers,  $e-1=e_1+\cdots+e_l$ . Partition  $[n]\setminus E$  into sets  $X_1, ..., X_l$  of sizes  $e_1(k-m), ..., e_l(k-m)$  respectively. On each  $L_i \cup X_i$  build a (k, m)-tree  $H_i$  rooted at  $L_i, i \in [l]$ . Clearly, the union of all  $H_i$ 's plus the edge E forms a (k, m)-tree with e edges and every such tree H is obtained exactly e times. Therefore, by (2) we obtain

$$eT_{km}(e) = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{e} \frac{(n-k)!}{(e_1(k-m))! \cdots (e_l(k-m))!} \prod_{i=1}^{l} R_{km}(e_i)$$
$$= \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{e} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{m!(e_i(l-1)+1)T_{km}(e_i)}{(e_i(k-m)+m)!},$$
(3)

#### NOTE

where  $\sum_{e}$  denotes the summation over all representations  $e-1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_l$  with non-negative integers summands.

Clearly, formula (1) gives correct values for e = 0. Also, the substitution of (1) into the both sides of (3) gives (after routine cancellations)

$$l(e(l-1)+1)^{e-2} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{(e-1)!}{e_1! \cdots e_l!} \prod_{i=1}^l (e_i(l-1)+1)^{e_i-1}.$$
 (4)

The last identity (in slightly different notation) was established by Beineke and Pippert [1, Lemma 2], which proves our theorem by induction. ■

COROLLARY 1. The number of labelled m-trees on n vertices,  $n > m \ge 1$ , is

$$T_{m+1,m}(n-m) = \binom{n}{m}(mn-m^2+1)^{n-m-2}.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments

## REFERENCES

- L. W. Beineke and R. E. Pippert, The number of labelled k-dimensional trees, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969), 200–205.
- L. W. Beineke and R. E. Pippert. On the structure of (m, n)-trees, in "Proc. 8th Southeast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge)," Congressus Numeratium, Vol. XIX, pp. 75–80, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, 1977.
- 3. A. Cayley, A theorem on trees, Quart. J. Math. 23 (1889), 376-378.
- W. Y. C. Chen, A coding algorithm for Rényi trees, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 63 (1993), 11–25.
- 5. A. K. Dewdney, Multidimensional tree-like structures, J. Combin. Theory B 17 (1974), 160–169.
- O. Egecioglu and L.-P. Shen, A bijective proof for the number of labelled q-trees, Ars Combin. 25 (1988), 3–30.
- 7. D. Foata, Enumerating k-trees, Discrete Math. 1 (1971), 181-186.
- 8. C. Greene and G. A. Iba, Cayley's formula for multidimensional trees, *Discrete Math.* 13 (1975), 1–11.
- 9. J. W. Moon, The number of labeled k-trees, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969), 196-199.
- 10. O. Pikhurko, Foata's bijection for (k, m)-trees, manuscript.
- C. Rényi and A. Rényi, The Prüfer code for k-trees, in "Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications" (P. Erdős et al., eds.) pp. 945–971, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.