

Discrete Mathematics 214 (2000) 275-278

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Note

Asymptotic evaluation of the sat-function for r-stars

Oleg Pikhurko¹

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB2 1SB, UK

Received 29 December 1997; revised 16 April 1998; accepted 21 June 1999

Abstract

Let S_m^r be the *r*-uniform set system on *m* vertices consisting of all *r*-tuples containing a given vertex. We determine the asymptotic behaviour of sat (n, S_m^r) for all *r* and *m* thus extending a result of Erdős, Füredi and Tuza. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

An r-graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)) where the *edge set* E(G) is a family of r-subsets of the vertex set V(G). In the usual way, we define the notion of subgraph, isomorphism, complementary graph \overline{G} , size |G| = |E(G)|, etc. A graph isomorphic to G is called a G-graph. Given an r-graph H we say that G is monotonically H-saturated (another common name is strongly H-saturated) if the addition of any new r-tuple to E(G) creates at least one new H-subgraph. If, besides, G does not contain H as a subgraph then G is called H-saturated. Next, sat(n, H) (m-sat(n, H)) is defined to be the minimal size of an H-saturated (monotonically H-saturated) graph on n vertices.

The star S_m^r , $m > r \ge 2$, has [m] as the vertex set and $\{E \in [m]^{(r)}: E \ni m\}$ as the edge set. In other words, S_m^r has m vertices and its $\binom{m-1}{r-1}$ edges are the r-tuples containing some fixed vertex which is called the *centre*.

The exact values of $sat(n, S_m^r)$ are known only for S_m^2 , any *m*, (see [2]) and for S_4^3 (see [1]).

The asymptotic behaviour of $sat(n, S_{r+1}^r)$ was found by Erdős et al. [1, Theorem 2]. Exploiting their ideas we extend this result to all stars.

Theorem 1. Let $m > r \ge 2$ and $S = S_m^r$. Then

$$\frac{m-r}{2}\binom{n}{r-1} \geqslant \operatorname{sat}(n,S) \geqslant \operatorname{m-sat}(n,S) \geqslant \frac{m-r}{2}\binom{n}{r-1} - \operatorname{O}(n^{r-4/3}).$$
(1)

¹ Supported by an External Research Studentship, Cambridge.

0012-365X/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0012-365X(99)00309-X

E-mail address: oleg@dpmms.cam.ac.uk (O. Pikhurko)

Proof. Let us provide a construction of an S-saturated graph $G = G_{m,n}^r$ proving the upper bound. Partition the vertex set [n] into $n' = \lceil n/(m-r+1) \rceil$ blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{n'}$ of size m - r + 1 each except possibly the last one. The edge set is

$$E(G) = \{F \in [n]^{(r)} \colon |F \cap B_j| \ge 2, \ j = \min\{i \in [n'] \colon F \cap B_i \neq \emptyset\}\}.$$

Thus every edge of G has at least two common points with some B_j and intersects no B_i with i < j.

Let us show that $S \not\subset G$. Suppose not and we have an S-subgraph $S' \subset G$ centred at x. Let

$$j = \min\{i \in [n']: V(S') \cap B_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$
(2)

Choose an *r*-set $F \ni x$ so that it contains one vertex from B_j and some r-1 vertices in $V(S') \setminus B_j$ which is possible since $|V(S') \setminus B_j| \ge r-1$. We obtain a contradiction as on one hand *F* contains the centre *x* and must belong to *S* while on the other hand $F \notin E(G)$ by the definition.

Now, if we add any extra edge F to G then the set $Y = F \cup B_j$ spans a copy of S centred at x where B_j is the first block intersecting F and $\{x\} = F \cap B_j$. Indeed, every $F' \in Y^{(r)}$ containing x either equals F or intersects B_j in at least two points and so belongs to E(G).

Therefore, we conclude that *G* is *S*-saturated. To prove the desired upper bound $|G_{m,n}^r| \leq \frac{m-r}{2} \binom{n}{r-1}$ we observe, for r = 2, that each vertex of the 2-graph $G_{m,n}^2$ has degree at most m-r while, for $r \geq 3$, we use induction and the equality $|G_{m,n+1}^r| = |G_{m,n}^r| + |G_{m-1,n}^{r-1}|$.

Trivially, $\operatorname{sat}(n, S) \ge \operatorname{m-sat}(n, S)$.

Finally, let G be a minimum monotonically S-saturated graph on V = [n]. By the definition, the addition to G of any edge $F \in E(\overline{G})$ creates at least one S-subgraph $S' \subset G + F$. Let $\mathscr{S}(F)$ be the set of all such subgraphs S' created by F.

Let $\mathscr{F}(F)$ denote the set of edges in \overline{G} which intersect $F \in E(G)$ in r-1 points and create a copy of S containing F as an edge. Formally,

$$\mathscr{F}(F) = \{ F' \in E(\overline{G}) \colon |F \cap F'| = r - 1, \ \exists S' \in \mathscr{S}(F') \ F \in E(S') \}, \quad F \in E(G).$$

Also, we define

$$\mathcal{F}(G') = \bigcup_{F \in E(G')} \mathcal{F}(F), \quad G' \subset G,$$
$$\partial F = F^{(r-1)}, \qquad F \in [n]^{(r)},$$
$$\partial G' = \bigcup_{F \in E(G')} \partial F, \qquad \text{an } r\text{-graph } G'.$$

As G is monotonically S-saturated we conclude that

$$\mathscr{F}(G) = V^{(r)} \setminus E(G). \tag{3}$$

Choose an integer k = k(n), to be specified later, such that $k \to \infty$ and $k/n \to 0$. On the vertex set V we define two subgraphs G_0 , $G_1 \subset G$; G_0 is a maximal subgraph of G with $|\mathscr{F}(G_0)| \leq k |G_0|$ and G_1 consists of the edges of G not in $G_0: E(G_1) = E(G) \setminus E(G_0)$. By the maximality of G_0 , for every $F \in E(G_1)$ we have

$$|\mathscr{F}(F) \setminus \mathscr{F}(G_0)| > k. \tag{4}$$

From (3) and the proved upper bound in (1) we conclude that $|\mathscr{F}(G)| = \binom{n}{r} - |G| = \binom{n}{r} - O(n^{r-1})$. Taking into the account that $\mathscr{F}(G) = \mathscr{F}(G_0) \cup \mathscr{F}(G_1)$ and $|\mathscr{F}(G_0)| \leq k|G_0| = O(kn^{r-1})$ we obtain

$$|X| = \binom{n}{r} - \mathcal{O}(kn^{r-1}),\tag{5}$$

where $X = \mathscr{F}(G_1) \setminus \mathscr{F}(G_0)$.

Let $Z = V^{(r-1)} \setminus \partial G_1$. We claim that

$$|Z| = O(k^{1/2} n^{r-3/2}).$$
(6)

Suppose not. Then the average value of $z(D) = |\{E \in Z: E \supset D\}|$ over all $D \in V^{(r-2)}$ is greater than $O(k^{1/2}n^{1/2})$. For any $E, E' \in Z$ with $|E \cap E'| = r-2$ we have $F = E \cup E' \notin X$, because otherwise at least one of $E, E' \in \partial F$ is covered by an edge of $S' \in \mathscr{S}(F)$ which then is necessarily an edge of G_1 (as it intersects $F \in \mathscr{F}(G_1) \setminus \mathscr{F}(G_0)$ in r-1 vertices). Therefore, we have at least $\binom{r}{2}^{-1} \sum_{D \in V^{(r-2)}} \binom{z(D)}{2}$ *r*-sets not in *X*, which exceeds $\binom{n}{r-2}O(kn)$ by the convexity of $\binom{x}{2}$. This contradicts (5) and proves the claim.

Let

$$g_1(E) = |\{F \in E(G_1): F \supset E\}|, \quad E \in \partial G_1.$$

Take any $F \in E(G_1)$. Let $\partial F = \{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$. We claim that all but at most two of $g_1(E_i)$'s are larger than k/6. Suppose not, say $g_1(E_i) \leq k/6$, i = 1, 2, 3. Take $F' \in \mathscr{F}(F) \setminus \mathscr{F}(G_0)$ and any $S' \in \mathscr{S}(F')$ containing F as an edge. Let $F' = E_i \cup \{x\}$, some $i \in [r]$, $x \in V \setminus F$. The star S' contains r - 2 edges of the form $E_j \cup \{x\}$, $j \neq i$. These edges cannot be in G_0 and so contribute at least 1 to $g_1(E_1) + g_1(E_2) + g_1(E_3)$. In total, each $\{x\} \cup E_j \in E(G_1)$ is counted at most twice. (Once it occurs then at most 2 edges of the form $\{x\} \cup E_i$ can belong to $E(\overline{G})$.) But this contradicts (4). The claim is proved.

Define

$$W = \{ E \in \partial G_1 \colon g_1(E) \leq m - r - 1 \},\$$

$$T = \{ F \in E(G_1) \colon W \cap \partial F \neq \emptyset \}.$$

We claim that $|W| = O(k^{1/2}n^{r-3/2})$. Suppose not. Note that for $E, E' \in W$ with $|E \cap E'| = r - 2$ we necessarily have $F = E \cup E' \notin X$ for otherwise in an $S' \in \mathscr{S}(F)$ centred at x, say $x \in E$, there are m - r edges (necessarily in $E(G_1)$) different from F and covering E. Thus there are at least $\binom{r}{2}^{-1} \sum_{D \in V^{(r-2)}} \binom{w(D)}{2}$ edges not in X, where $w(D) = |\{E \in W : E \supset D\}|, D \in V^{(r-2)}$. Using the convexity of the $\binom{x}{2}$ -function as before we can argue that there are more than $O(kn^{r-1})$ edges not in X contradicting (5). The claim is established.

Every $E \in W$ is contained in at most m - r - 1 edges $F \in E(G_1)$ so $|T| = O(k^{1/2}n^{r-3/2})$. For every $F \in E(G_1) \setminus T$ we have $\sum_{E \in \partial F} 1/g_1(E) \leq 2/(m-r) + (r-2)6/k$. Note the following easy identity:

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial G_1| &= \sum_{F \in E(G_1) \setminus T} \left(\sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{1}{g_1(E)} \right) + \sum_{F \in T} \left(\sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{1}{g_1(E)} \right) \\ &\leqslant \left(\frac{2}{m-r} + \mathcal{O}(1/k) \right) |G_1| + r|T|. \end{aligned}$$

We know, see (6), that $|\partial G_1| = \binom{n}{r-1} - O(k^{1/2}n^{r-3/2})$. Hence,

$$\frac{m-r}{2}\binom{n}{r-1} - |G| = O(k^{1/2}n^{r-3/2} + |G|/k) = O(k^{1/2}n^{r-3/2} + n^{r-1}/k).$$

Taking $k = \lfloor n^{1/3} \rfloor$ we obtain the required. \Box

The author is very grateful to Andrew Thomason and to the anonymous referees for helpful comments.

References

- [1] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, Z. Tuza, Saturated r-uniform hypergraphs, Discrete Math. 98 (1991) 95-104.
- [2] L. Kászonyi, Z. Tuza, Saturated graphs with minimal number of edges, J. Graph Theory 10 (1986) 203-210.